UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/825,309 | 04/16/2004 | Richard S. Kusleika | 12013/48803 | 7747 |
| 23838 KENYON & K | 7590 09/02/200 ENYON LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1500 K STREET N.W. SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3763 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/02/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| KUSLEIKA, RICHARD S. | |
|----------------------|--|
| | |
| | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appea | Brief Request for Review filed 18 Ma | y 2009. | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Improper Request – The Freason(s): | Request is improper and a conference | will not be held for the following | | | |
| ☐ The request does not inc | s not been filed concurrent with the Pro- lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief r | iate. | | | |
| | nse continues to run from the receipt ommunication, if no Notice of Appeal h | | | | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | | | | | |
| ☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16-24, 38 Claim(s) withdrawn from co | <u>3-39</u> . | ows: | | | |
| | conference has been held. The rejectution on the merits remains closed. N | | | | |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | |
| (1) <u>QUYNH-NHU H. VU</u> . | (3) <u>Greg Vidov</u> | <u>ich</u> . | | | |
| (2) Nick Lucchesi. | (4) | | | | |
| /Quynh-Nhu H. Vu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763 | /Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 | /Greg Vidovich/ TQAS, TC 3700 | | | |